

Indeed, the above is neither a jeremiad against technology nor a suggestion that inadequate information should win out over the vast stores of knowledge now available to every ear. It simply restates a mysterious and pervasive law of equilibrium: the principle of price paid for value received, the acts of giving and taking away.

The loss can be well worth our new lack of inner effort; each new machine-driven scientific explosion tells us so. There is a downside here: consider, for example, Broadway's amplification of musicals. Stephen Sondheim once told me that as a young man he leaned over from top balconies and listened for all he was worth. Today, he is glad he had to. Current Broadwaygoers don't. They are overwhelmed with facts electronically bloated and deafeningly conveyed. No need to strain at all.

Realism is a funny idea, because a big hunk of it is invented by our minds. Record companies make us think that it is they who are putting in all this added reality, piling on digital fact after digital fact. Listeners may have been accomplishing much the same thing internally long before digital or any other technology came along.

The new sounds are wonderful. They are not the reality they are advertised to be, but they have a cold, revealing magnificence. They make hearing the right thing a lot easier than it used to be, and we should be grateful; a little worried, too. The reason my old LP sounds so bad to me today may also be the reason multiplying numbers in my head has turned into such a chore. Why bother with all that head-scratching when a dime-store calculator does it better?

Drawing

EAL ESTATE »	FASHION & STYLE »	OPINION »	WORLD »	OPINION »	ARTS »
How Many People Can Manhattan Hold?	The Naughty Knave of Fashion's Court	Op-Ed: Starving Iran Won't Free It If America wants Iranians to overthrow their government, sanctions won't help.	A Chinese Voice of Dissent That Took Its Time	Letters: Too Many Tests, Too Little Care?	The Beasts Without and Within

E-MAIL